







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,257	04/27/2001	Karin Kellner	CIBT-P01-099	8923	
28120	7590 01/29/2003				
ROPES & O	ROPES & GRAY			EXAMINER	
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			BRANNOCK,	BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646	d	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application-No.

09/844,257

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit 1646

Keliner

		Michael Digitioux			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address		
Pariod fo	or Renly		i		
A SHO THE M - Extension - If the po - If NO po - Failure t - Any rep	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. IONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. Ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. Ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. In reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the provision of the original provision original provision or the original provision or the o	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mails the application to become ABANDONEO (35 U.	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).		
earned	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status 1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 1, 20	002	·		
	·	tion is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	except for formal matters, prose arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	ecution as to the merits is O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/ar	e pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s)				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 🐼	Claims <u>1-15</u>	are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.		
	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re a) \square accepted or b) \square object	ted to by the Examiner.		
.0,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	see 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌 approved	d b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	miner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	0-1100 5 4401	-) (4) 0, (6)		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 3 1190	a)-(d) or (i).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h		No		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application	in this National Stage		
*(3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (FC) nule 17.2(a//.			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).		
a) (The translation of the foreign language provision	nal application has been receive	d.		
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachr	nent(s)				
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Page 5. Therein of Informal Pagent Application			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:					
3) 📙 I	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	o, Other.			

Application/Control Number: 09844257 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a prothesis, classified in class 623, subclass 13.11.
 - II. Claims 10-15, drawn to a tissue culture system, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship, respectively. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a research tool to investigate the role of hedgehog proteins in the development of cartilage and musculature; and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09844257

Art Unit: 1646

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Claims 1-15 generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising independent and distinct molecules disclosed as being hedgehog therapeutics. The specification contemplates an essentially limitless number of structurally distinct molecules, the use of one not being required for the use of any other. Although a search of any one of the species might overlap that of another, the search of one species could not be relied upon to provide art that would anticipate or might render obvious any other, and to search all would be burdensome Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species consisting of a single molecule, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Claims 1-8, 10-15 generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species of matrix material comprising polyglycolid acid, collagen, dextran sulfate, etc., each species being a structurally and functionally distinct molecule, the use of one not being required for the use of

Application/Control Number: 09844257

Art Unit: 1646

any other. Although a search of any one of these might overlap that of another, the search of one species could not be relied upon to provide art that would anticipate or might render obvious any other, and to search all would be burdensome. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species consisting of a single species of matrix material, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09844257

Art Unit: 1646

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5876. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. The examiner can also normally be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

January 27, 2003

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600